VENABLE LLP 2049 CENTURY PARK EAST, SUITE 2100 LOS ANGELES, CA 90067 310-229-3900	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8		S DISTRICT COURT ICT OF CALIFORNIA
	10		
	11	THERMOLIFE INTERNATIONAL, LLC	CASE NO. CV12-09229 GAF (FFMx)
	12		STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME
	13	Plaintiff, v.	TO RESPOND TO INITIAL COMPLAINT BY NOT MORE THAN
	14	BETTER BODY SPORTS, LLC, et al,	30 DAYS (L.R. 8-3)
	15	Defendants.	Complaint served: November 13, 2012 Current response date: December 4, 2012 New response date: January 3, 2013
	16		New response date: January 3, 2013
	17		Action filed: October 26, 2012 Trial Date: None set
	18		
	19		
	20		
	21		
	22		
	23		
	2425		
	26		
	27		
	28		
	20		

WHEREAS, Plaintiff filed its Complaint on October 26, 2012; WHEREAS, Defendant Redefine Nutrition, LLC ("Redefine Nutrition") was served with the Summons and Complaint on November 13, 2012; WHEREAS, Redefine Nutrition's response to the Complaint is due on WHEREAS, Redefine Nutrition's counsel has requested, and Plaintiff's counsel has agreed to, a 30-day extension until January 3, 2013 for Redefine Nutrition to respond to the Complaint; WHEREAS, Redefine Nutrition submits that good cause exists for the extension because Redefine Nutrition just recently retained undersigned counsel, and undersigned counsel requires additional time to evaluate and investigate the allegations and claims set forth in the Complaint; WHEREAS, the Court has not established a discovery cut-off date, last date for hearing motions, a pre-trial conference date, or trial date; WHEREAS, the Court has not established a discovery cut-off date, last date for hearing motions, a pre-trial conference date, or trial date; WHEREAS, Redefine Nutrition submits that no party will be prejudiced by the relief sought and Plaintiff agrees to the requested extension; and WHEREAS, trial will not be delayed because the Court has not set any trial date or other deadline in this case.

26

27

28

6258264-v1

EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT (L.R. 8-3)

Case 2:12-cv-09229-GAF-FFM Document 30-1 Filed 12/04/12 Page 5 of 5 Page ID #:135

On December 4, 2012, the parties filed with the Court a Stipulation seeking to extend the 1 2 deadline by which Defendant Redefine Nutrition must respond to the Complaint until January 3, 3 2013. In support of the Stipulation, Redefine Nutrition submitted that good cause exists to grant 4 the Stipulation because; (1) Redefine Nutrition just recently retained undersigned counsel, and 5 undersigned counsel requires additional time to evaluate and investigate the allegations and 6 claims set forth in the Complaint; (2) no party will be prejudiced by the relief sought and 7 Plaintiff consents to the requested extension; (3) trial will not be delayed because the Court has 8 not set any trial date or other deadline in this case; and (4) no party has required any extension of 9 any deadline in this action. 10 Upon consideration of the Stipulation, and for good cause shown, IT IS HEREBY 11 **ORDERED** that Defendant Redefine Nutrition shall respond to the Complaint by no later than 12 January 3, 2013. 13 IT IS SO ORDERED. 310-229-9900 14 15 Dated: 16 The Honorable Gary A. Feess United States District Judge 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

> [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT (L.R. 8-3)

2049 CENTURY PARK EAST, SUITE 2100 LOS ANGELES, CA 90067

VENABLE LLP